



Paper No. 8

ALTHEIMER & GRAY
TEN SOUTH WACKEF DRIVE, SUITE 4000
CHICAGO IL 60606-7482

COPY MAILED

AUG 16 2002

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
Kovesdi and Rajasekharan :
Application No. 10/035,952 : ON PETITION
Filed: 26 December, 2001 :
Attorney Docket No. 66566.01US2 :

This is a decision on the petition filed on 4 June, 2002, under 37 CFR 1.182, requesting acceptance of a power of attorney made on behalf of less than all the named inventors.

The petition under 37 CFR 1.182 is **DISMISSED AS MOOT**.

The petition is dismissed as moot because applicant Rajasekharan does not appear to have joined in the filing of the above-identified application. 37 CFR 1.41(a)(1) now defines the inventorship of a non-provisional application as that inventorship set forth in the oath or declaration filed to comply with the requirements of 37 CFR 1.63. On 13 May, 2002, a declaration was filed naming Rozsa Kovesdi and Ajit Rajasekharan as joint inventors. As the declaration filed with the present petition lists Rajasekharan as the sole inventor, that declaration does not list the proper inventive entity, and therefore does not comply with 37 CFR 1.63.

If applicant Rajasekharan believes the inventorship of the above-identified application is in error, he may wish to consider filing his own application.

All parties are reminded that dual correspondence will not be undertaken by the USPTO. A courtesy copy of this decision is being forwarded to the address listed on the petition. All future correspondence, however, will be mailed solely to the address of record.

Telephone inquiries related to this decision should be directed to the undersigned at 703-308-6918.



Douglas I. Wood
Senior Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions
Office of the Deputy Commissioner
for Patent Examination

cc: Trevor Coddington
Brobeck, Phleger & Harrison, LLP
1333 H Street, N.W., Suite 800
Washington, D.C. 20005